FILED ENDORSED

2014 MAR 27 PM 3: 39

LEGAL PROCESS #6

Ted A. Greene, Esq. (SBN: 220392)
LAW OFFICES OF TED A. GREENE, INC.

2 1912 F Street, Suite 110 Sacramento, California 95811

Telephone: (916) 442-6400 Facsimile: (916) 266-9395

4 Email: tgreene@tedgreenelaw.com

5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, THADDEUS J. POTOCKI and KELLY R. DAVENPORT

7

8

9

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

25. 11 4.5.

ورا د وا

10

11 12

LAW OFFICES OF TED A. GREENE, INC. 1912 F Street, Suite 110, Sacramento, California 95811 Telephone: (916) 442-6400 THADDEUS J. POTOCKI and KELLY R.

DAVENPORT,

Plaintiffs,

14 vs.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.; FIRST

AMERICAN SERVICING SOLUTIONS, LLC; U.S. BANK, N.A.; and DOES 1 through 100,

17 inclusive,

18 Defendants.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CASE NO.: 34-2014-00160873

DECLARATION OF TED A. GREENE, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION SHOULD NOT BE ISSUED

[Filed concurrently with Plaintiffs' Notice of Ex Parte Application; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support; [Proposed] Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause]

Date: March <u>27</u>, 2014 Time: <u>2:30 e</u>

Dept.: <u>53</u>

1	I, Ted A. Greene, declare as follows:		
2	I am a resident of the County of Sacramento. I am over the age of 18. I am an attorney at		
3	the Law Offices of Ted A. Greene, Inc., and counsel of record for plaintiffs Thaddeus J. Potocl		
4	and Kelly R. Davenport.		
5	I have personal knowledge to the facts herein, and if called as a witness, I would		
6	competently testify to their veracity in court. As to those matters alleged on information and		
7	belief, I believe them to be true.		
8	Plaintiff's Complaint was filed on March 27, 2014. The defendants have yet to appear. A		
9	true and correct copy of Plaintiffs' Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A.		
10	Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.1202(a), on March 27, 2014, a		
11	approximately 11:55 a.m., I provided notice to defendant First American Servicing Solutions		
12	("FIRSTAMERICAN") by faxing Notice of my intent to appear ex parte in the above-entitled		
13	Court on March 28, 2014, or as soon thereafter to (817) 699-1484, the facsimile number		
14	provided on its web page and Isrein@firstam.com the email address on the same web page.		
15	Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.1202(a), on March 27, 2014, a		
16	approximately 11:55 a.m., I provided notice to defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. by faxing		
17	Notice of my intent to appear ex parte in the above-entitled Court on March 28, 2014, or as soon		
18	thereafter to (866) 590-8910.		
19	Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.1202(a), on March 27, 2014, a		
20	approximately 11:55 a.m., I provided notice to defendant U.S. Bank, N.A., through its agent		
21	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("WELLSFARGO") by faxing Notice of my intent to appear ex parte in		
22	the above-entitled Court on March 28, 2014, or as soon thereafter to (866) 590-8910.		
23	Plaintiffs fell several months behind on the subject mortgage. On February 4, 2010, a		
24	Notice of Default ("NOD") was recorded against the primary mortgage secured by the subject		
25	property. The NOD was filed by FIRSTAMERICAN and is believed to be filed on behalf o		
26	USBANK. However, the beneficiary under the Deed of Trust was Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.		
27	Shortly thereafter, on April 9, 2010, a Substitution of Trustee was recorded by		

WELLSFARGO contending to substitute Plaintiffs' trustee with FIRSTAMERICAN.

1	On April 29, 2010, Robert Bourne signed an Assignment of Deed of Trust purporting to		
2	transfer all beneficial interest in Plaintiffs' Deed of Trust from WELLSFARGO to USBANK on		
3	behalf of WELLSFARGO.		
4	On March 11, 2014, FIRSTAMERICAN recorded a Notice of Trustee's Sale ("NTS")		
5	(referencing T.S. No. CA1000192071) noting that the home was up for sale on April 1, 2014. In		
6	the NTS, FIRSTAMERICAN purports to be the Trustee under the Deed of Trust. However, the		
7	NTS is at odds with the Deed of Trust as the Deed of Trust explicitly names Fidelity National		
8	Title as Trustee and not FIRSTAMERICAN.		
9	Plaintiffs allege that USBANK is acting on behalf of a trust that closed in 2005.		
10	Securitized trusts require the mortgage be pooled in prior to the closing date. As such, the 2010		
11	purported transfer was executed five (5) years later and is void as a matter of law. Therefore,		
12	USBANK is not the beneficiary and cannot authorize FIRSTAMERICAN and WELLSFARGO		
13	to foreclose on the subject mortgage.		
14	As of the date of this Complaint, WELLSFARGO nor FIRSTAMERICAN are unable to		
15	provide Plaintiffs or myself, confirmation that the April 1, 2014 sale has been taken off calendar.		
16	If the home is sold at a foreclosure sale, Plaintiffs will be homeless.		
17	I declare under the penalties of perjury and under the laws of the State of California that		
18	the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge and that this declaration		
19	was signed in Sacramento, California on March 27, 2014.		
20	11/9		
21	Ted A. Greebe, Esq.		
22	Tou M. Oroogo, Esq.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

**COMPLAINT** 

1	Plaintiffs THADDEUS J. POTOCKI and KELLY R. DAVENPORT ("Plaintiffs" or "Mr.		
2	Potocki and Mrs. Davenport"), by and through counsel, for their Complaint against the		
3	defendants, upon information and belief allege as follows:		
4	INTRODUCTION		
5	Sadly, not even California's Attorney General Kamala D. Harris can put a leash on the		
6	Nation's otherwise out-of-control mortgage industry. The legislation touted as the		
7	"Homeowner's Bill of Rights" ("HOBR") was enacted in 2013 to afford homeowners protections		
8	during this record setting foreclosure crisis.		
9	Until the HOBR was enacted, the non-judicial foreclosure process in this State was		
10	comparable to the "Wild West." Mortgage servicers seemed to do as they pleased with		
11	absolutely no regard to the welfare of the foundation of the economy, homeowners.		
12	While the HOBR provided a false sense of security to homeowners, the truth is that the		
13	mortgage industry seems to think that it is immune from having to follow California law.		
14	Homeowners are being foreclosed upon by complete strangers to the mortgage transaction using		
15	fraudulent and "robo-signed" documentation.		
16	As a result of the complete disregard of California law, homeowners, such as Plaintiffs,		
17	are forced to incur the substantial cost of seeking the assistance of the Courts to preserve their		
18	rights.		
19	PARTIES		
20	Plaintiffs are residents of Sacramento, California. Plaintiffs bring this action against the		
21	defendants for damages and harm resulting from the defendants' willful and reckless violation of		
22	California law relating to the servicing and foreclosure of their residential mortgage. The		
23	residential mortgage concerns the property located at 3410 West Country Club Lane,		
24	Sacramento, California 95821.		
25	Defendant, WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. ("WELLSFARGO"), is a national banking		
26	association with its principal place of business in Minneapolis, Minnesota and regularly does		
27	business in California. Defendant WELLSFARGO is the current servicer of the subject mortgage		
28	loan.		

1	Defendant, U.S. BANK, N.A. ("USBANK"), is a national banking association with its			
2	principal place of business located in Minneapolis, Minnesota and regularly does business in			
3	California. Defendant USBANK is the trustee of the WFASC 2005-AR1 securitized trust and			
4	purports to be the current owner of the subject mortgage loan.			
5	Defendant, FIRST AMERICAN SERVICING SOLUTIONS, LLC			
6	("FIRSTAMERICAN"), is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business in Santa Ana			
7	California and regularly does business in California. Defendant FIRSTAMERICAN is currently			
8	foreclosing on the subject mortgage loan under Trustee Sale Number CA1000192071. Defendant			
9	FIRSTAMERICAN is allegedly acting on behalf of Defendants USBANK and WELLSFARGO.			
10	Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names and capacities of the defendants sued herein			
11	under the fictitious names Does 1 through 100, inclusive, and Plaintiffs will amend this			
12	Complaint to allege such names and capacities as soon as they are ascertained. Each of said			
13	fictitiously named defendants is responsible in some manner for the wrongful acts complained of			
14	herein.			
15	Each defendant was the agent and employee of each and every other defendant and in			
16	doing, saying, or omitting to say the things herein alleged, was acting within the course and			
17	scope of such agency and with the permission and consent of each of the other defendants.			
18	JURISDICTION AND VENUE			
19	Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 392(a), venue is proper in this Court because			
20	the transactions occurred within this County, the events transpired within this County, the parties			
21	and witnesses reside within this County, the evidence, including the defendants' business			
22	records, is located within this County, and the defendants regularly conduct business within this			
23	County.			
24	The defendants engaged in business within the State of California, which business is			
25	related to the events which give rise to the instant lawsuit. The subject events transpired within			
26	the State of California. The defendants have "sufficient minimum contacts" with the State of			

1	California such that this Court's exercise of personal jurisdiction over the defendants herein		
2	"[does] not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice." 1		
3	FACTUAL BACKGROUND		
4	Mr. Potocki and Mrs. Davenport purchased the subject property located at 3410 W		
5	Country Club Lane, Sacramento, California 95821, in 2004. The Trustee and only party		
6	authorized to foreclose under the subject Deed of Trust is Fidelity National Title. Plaintiffs ha		
7	lived in and owned the home for nearly ten (10) years. It is their primary residence.		
8	Plaintiffs fell several months behind on the subject mortgage. On February 4, 2010, a		
9	Notice of Default ("NOD") was recorded against the primary mortgage secured by his home, the		
10	subject property. The NOD was filed by FIRSTAMERICAN and is believed to be filed on behalf		
11	of USBANK. However, the beneficiary under the Deed of Trust was Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.		
12	Shortly thereafter, on April 9, 2010, a Substitution of Trustee was recorded by		
13	WELLSFARGO contending to substitute Plaintiffs' trustee with FIRSTAMERICAN.		
14	On April 29, 2010, Robert Bourne signed an Assignment of Deed of Trust purporting to		
15	transfer all beneficial interest in Plaintiffs' Deed of Trust from WELLSFARGO to USBANK or		
16	behalf of WELLSFARGO.		
17	On March 11, 2014, FIRSTAMERICAN recorded a Notice of Trustee's Sale ("NTS")		
18	(referencing T.S. No. CA1000192071) noting that the home was up for sale on April 1, 2014. Ir		
19	the NTS, FIRSTAMERICAN purports to be the Trustee under the Deed of Trust. However, the		
20	NTS is at odds with the Deed of Trust as the Deed of Trust explicitly names Fidelity Nationa		
21	Title as Trustee and not FIRSTAMERICAN.		
22	Plaintiffs allege that USBANK is acting on behalf of a trust that closed in 2005		
23	Securitize trusts require the mortgage be pooled in prior to the closing date. As such, the 2010		
24	purported transfer was executed five (5) years late is void as a matter of law. Therefore		
25	USBANK is not the beneficiary and cannot authorize FIRSTAMERICAN and WELLSFARGO		
26	to foreclose on the subject mortgage.		
27			
28	<sup>1</sup> International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 326 U.S. 310, 316 (1945).		

1	As of the date of this Complaint, WELLSFARGO nor FIRSTAMERICAN are able to		
2	provide Plaintiffs or their counsel, confirmation that the April 1, 2014 sale has been taken off		
3	calendar. If Plaintiffs' home is sold at a foreclosure sale, they will be homeless.		
4	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION		
5	Negligence Per Se		
6	(Against All Defendants)		
7	Plaintiffs incorporate herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth above,		
8	as though fully set forth herein.		
9	California Civil Code sections 2924(a)(6), below, mandate a duty upon the defendants to		
10	ensure that appropriate safeguards are put into place prior to any foreclosure sale. These sections		
11	were designed specifically to protect homeowners, such as Plaintiffs, from robo-signing based		
12	foreclosures.		
13	As set forth above, USBANK has no beneficial interest in the subject mortgage yet has		
14	noticed that it will sell the property on April 1, 2014.		
15	As a direct and proximate result, Plaintiffs have sustained damages, including, but not		
16	limited to, excessive interest accumulation, negative amortization, loss of equity, destruction of		
17	credit standing, pain, suffering, and emotional distress, in an amount to be shown at trial.		
18	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION		
19	Violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 17200, et seq.		
20	(Against All Defendants)		
21	Plaintiffs incorporate herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth above,		
22	as though fully set forth herein.		
23	As alleged herein above, Plaintiffs have standing to pursue this claim as they have		
24	suffered injury in fact and have lost money or property as a result of the named defendants'		
25	actions as set forth herein.		
26	As set forth above, USBANK has no beneficial interest in the subject mortgage yet has		
27	noticed that it will sell the property on April 1, 2014.		
28			

As a result of the defendants' unfair business practices, Plaintiffs have sustained
damages, including, but not limited to, excessive interest accumulation, negative amortization,
loss of equity, destruction of credit standing, pain, suffering, and emotional distress, in an
amount to be shown at trial.
THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
Violation of California Civil Code Section 2924(a)(6)
(Against All Defendants)
Plaintiffs incorporate herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth above,
as though fully set forth herein.
Civil Code section 2924(a)(6) bars all defendants from initiating foreclosure unless they
are the holder of the beneficial interest under the mortgage or deed of trust, the original trustee or
the substituted trustee under the deed of trust, or the designated agent of the holder of the
beneficial interest.
As set forth above, defendants USBANK, WELLSFARGO, and FIRSTAMERICAN are
foreclosing under the subject Deed of Trust although they are not beneficiaries, trustees, or
otherwise authorized to initiate foreclosure proceedings.
As a result, Plaintiffs have sustained damages, including, but not limited to, excessive
interest accumulation, negative amortization, loss of equity, destruction of credit standing, pain,
suffering, and emotional distress, in an amount to be shown at trial.
FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
Violation of California Civil Code Section 2924.17
(Against All Defendants)
Plaintiffs incorporate herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth above,
as though fully set forth herein.
Civil Code section 2924.17 bars all defendants from initiating foreclosure unless they
submit accurate declarations stating that they are the holder of the beneficial interest under the
mortgage or deed of trust, the original trustee or the substituted trustee under the deed of trust, or

the designated agent of the holder of the beneficial interest.

1	As set forth above, defendants USBANK, WELLSFARGO, and FIRSTAMERICAN
2	have declared and are foreclosing under the subject Deed of Trust although they are not
3	beneficiaries, trustees, or otherwise authorized to initiate foreclosure proceedings.
4	As a result, Plaintiffs have sustained damages, including, but not limited to, excessive
5	interest accumulation, negative amortization, loss of equity, destruction of credit standing, pain,
6	suffering, and emotional distress, in an amount to be shown at trial.
7	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
8	Declaratory Relief
9	(Against All Defendants)
10	Plaintiffs incorporate herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth above,
11	as though fully set forth herein.
12	An actual controversy exists. Civil Code section 2924(a)(6) bars all defendants from
13	initiating foreclosure unless they are the holder of the beneficial interest under the mortgage or
14	deed of trust, the original trustee or the substituted trustee under the deed of trust, or the
15	designated agent of the holder of the beneficial interest.
16	As set forth above, defendants USBANK, WELLSFARGO, and FIRSTAMERICAN are
17	foreclosing under the subject Deed of Trust although they are not beneficiaries, trustees, or
18	otherwise authorized to initiate foreclosure proceedings.
19	A judicial determination concerning the relative rights, responsibilities, obligations and
20	interest as to each of the parties hereto with respective to the subject real estate is needed.
21	As a result, Plaintiffs have sustained damages, including, but not limited to, excessive
22	interest accumulation, negative amortization, loss of equity, destruction of credit standing, pain,
23	suffering, and emotional distress, in an amount to be shown at trial.
24	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL AND PRAYER FOR DAMAGES
25	Plaintiffs, THADDEUS J. POTOCKI and KELLY R. DAVENPORT, hereby demand a
26	trial by jury.
27	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, THADDEUS J. POTOCKI and KELLY R. DAVENPORT,
28	pray for Judgment and Order against the defendants, as follows:

1	1.	That Judgment is entered for Plaintiffs and against defendants, and each of them;
2	2.	For an Order requiring defendants to show cause, if they have any, why they
3	should not be	enjoined as set forth below, during the pendency of the action;
4	3.	For compensatory damages, according to proof at trial;
5	4.	For consequential damages, according to proof at trial;
6	5.	For general and statutory damages for all injuries resulting from the causes of
7	action set for	th herein according to proof at trial;
8	6.	For disgorgement and restitution of all earnings, profits, compensation and
9	benefits rece	ved by defendants as a result of their unlawful acts and practices;
10	7.	For punitive and/or exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish
11	defendants' v	wrongful conduct and deter future misconduct;
12	8.	For an accounting from defendants of all monies received by them on Plaintiffs'
13	subject morts	gage loan;
14	9.	Prejudgment interest;
15	10.	Costs and disbursements of the action;
16	11.	Attorney's fees;
17	12.	For declaratory relief concerning the relative rights, responsibilities, obligations
18	and interest a	is to each of the parties hereto with respective to the subject real estate; and,
19	13.	For an injunction barring the defendants from selling Plaintiffs' home at auction
20	or otherwise	foreclosing on the mortgage;
21	14.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
22		
23	DATED: M	arch 27, 2014 Respectfully submitted,
24		LAW OFFICES OF TED A. GREENE, INC.
25		AT A DE
26		By:
27		Attorney for Plaintiffs