	Le T. Duong (SBN 297662) Email: <u>Iduong@reedsmith.com</u>	FILED/ENDORSED			
	Megan E. Farrell (SBN 308738) Email: mfarrell@reedsmith.com	NOV 1 7 2020			
	REED SMITH LLP 101 Second Street, Suite 1800				
4 5	San Francisco, CA 94105-3659 Telephone: +1 415 543 8700 Facsimile: +1 415 391 8269	By: N. Zeyaad Deputy Cierk			
6	Attorneys for Defendants				
7	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and U.S. Bank National Association,				
8	as Trustee for WFASC 2005-ARI				
9	SUPERIOR COU	RT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO				
11					
12	THADDEUS J. POTOCKI and KELLY R. DAVENPORT	Case No. 34-2014-00160873			
13	KELLY R. DAVENPORT, Plaintiffs, DEFENDANTS WELLS FARGO BANK N.A. AND U.S. BANK NATIONAL				
14	Plaintiffs,	ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE FOR WFASC 2005-ARI'S ANSWER AND			
15	vs. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.;	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO			
16	FIRST AMERICAN SERVICING SOLUTIONS, LLC;	FIFTH AMENDED COMPLAINT			
17	U.S. BANK, N.A., and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	Complaint Filed: March 27, 2014 FAC Filed: September 5, 2014			
18	Defendants.	SAC Filed: February 13, 2015 TAC Filed: April 9, 2015			
19	Derendants.	4th AC Filed:February 26, 20205th AC Filed:October 13, 2020			
20		J ACTINU. OCIODEL 15, 2020			
21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		ND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO			
	PLAINTIFFS' UNVERIFIED FIFTH AMENDED COMPLAINT				

•

v

Defendants Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee for
 WFASC 2005-ARI (collectively, "Defendants") hereby answer the causes of action asserted against
 them in the unverified Fifth Amended Complaint ("5<sup>th</sup> AC") of Plaintiffs Thaddeus J. Potocki and
 Kelly R. Davenport ("Plaintiffs") as follows:

#### **GENERAL DENIAL**

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 431.30(d), Defendants generally deny
each and every allegation of Plaintiffs' 5<sup>th</sup> AC. Defendants also deny, generally and specifically,
that Plaintiffs have been damaged as alleged in the 5<sup>th</sup> AC, or in any way at all, or that Plaintiffs are
entitled to any damages, injunctive relief, or other relief whatsoever from Defendants, and further
deny, generally and specifically, that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief prayed for in the
5<sup>th</sup> AC.

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Failure to State a Claim)

Plaintiffs fail to state a claim against Defendants upon which relief may be granted.

### SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Waiver)

By their actions, Plaintiffs have waived any and all claims, rights, and demands made in the 5<sup>th</sup> AC.

## THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Failure to Mitigate Damages)

Plaintiffs failed to take proper and reasonable steps to avoid, minimize, or mitigate Plaintiffs' alleged damages, and, to the extent of such failure, the damages allegedly incurred by Plaintiffs, if any, should be reduced accordingly or eliminated entirely.

### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

# (Safe Harbor – Civil Code § 2924.12(c))

27 Defendants are not liable for any alleged violations of the Homeowners Bill of Rights as any
28 alleged violation has been corrected and remedied.

.

# REED SMITH LLP A limited liability partnetship formed in the State of Delaware

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

26

1	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
2	(Unclean Hands)			
3	Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.			
4	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
5	(Failure to Tender)			
6	Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by failure to tender the amount due and			
7	owing under the operative note and deed of trust.			
8	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
9	(Bona Fide Error)			
10	Although Defendants deny any liability in this matter, Defendants contend that any alleged			
11	acts or omissions of Defendants giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims are the result of innocent mistake			
12	and/or bona fide error despite reasonable procedures implemented by Defendants. Defendants acted			
13	in a reasonable manner in connection with the transaction(s) at issue in this action.			
14	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
15	(Good Faith Conduct)			
1 <b>6</b>	Defendants at all times acted in good faith and in accordance with reasonable commercial			
17	standards, thus precluding any recovery by Plaintiffs against Defendants.			
18	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
19	(Lack of Malice)			
20	Defendants specifically deny acting with any willfulness, oppression, fraud, or malice			
21	towards Plaintiffs or others.			
22	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
23	(Lack of Standing)			
24	Plaintiffs lack standing because they fail to allege an injury-in-fact.			
25	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
26	(Consent/Acquiescence)			
27	Plaintiffs' claims are barred because Plaintiffs acquiesced in and/or consented to any alleged			
<sup>7</sup> 28	conduct in the 5 <sup>th</sup> AC.			
	-2 -			

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFFS' UNVERIFIED THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT

.

REED SMITH LLP A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware

	1	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
	2	(Accuracy/Completeness of Information Furnished)					
	3	The statements or representations made by Defendants, as alleged in the 5 <sup>th</sup> AC, were					
	4	4 accurate and complete when made or reasonably believed to be accurate and complete based					
	5	the information then available to Defendants.					
	6	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
	7	(Laches)					
	8	Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the doctrine of laches.					
	9	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
	10	(Estoppel)					
dawarc	11	All of the causes of action alleged in the 5 <sup>th</sup> AC are barred by the doctrine of estoppel.					
inte of De	12	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
ed in the S	13	(Offset)					
A limited liability purmensity formed in the State of Delaware	14	Any award by Plaintiffs should be offset by the amounts owed by Plaintiffs to Defendants.					
	15	SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
	16	(Justification and Privilege)					
	17	Defendants were justified and privileged in taking the actions alleged in the 5 <sup>th</sup> AC.					
	18	SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
	19	(Mistake)					
	20	Any alleged acts or omissions of Defendants that gave rise to Plaintiffs' claims are the result					
	21	of innocent mistake.					
	22	EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
	23	(No Damages / Speculative Damages)					
	24	Plaintiffs have suffered no actual damages, and any damages claimed in the 5 <sup>th</sup> AC are					
	25	speculative and cannot be recovered from Defendants.					
	26						
	27	///					
	28	///					
	II						

REED SMITH LLP

.

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFFS' UNVERIFIED THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT

1	NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
2	(Compliance with Law and Applicable Regulations)			
3	The 5 <sup>th</sup> AC and each claim set forth therein are barred because Defendants' conduct wa			
4	compliant with all applicable laws and regulations and industry standards.			
5	TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
6	(Unjust Enrichment)			
7	The 5 <sup>th</sup> AC and each cause of action therein are barred because recovery for Plaintiffs would			
8	result in unjust enrichment.			
9	TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
10	(No Obligation to Modify)			
11	Defendants were under no legal or contractual obligation to modify Plaintiffs' loan.			
12	TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
13	(Protection of Economic Interest)			
14	Defendants, at all times, acted in good faith and in accordance with reasonable commercial			
15	standards to protect its economic interest, thus precluding any recovery by Plaintiffs.			
16	TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
17	(Lack of Prejudice)			
1 <b>8</b>	Plaintiffs have failed to properly claim prejudice as a result of any purported procedural			
19	irregularity in the loan modification process.			
20	TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
21	(Contribution)			
22	Any and all harm alleged by Plaintiffs can be attributed to several causes, and the damages			
_ 23	for the harm, if any, should be apportioned among the various causes according to the contribution			
24	of each cause to the harm sustained.			
25	TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
26	(Several Liability)			
27	Should Plaintiffs prevail against Defendants, each of Defendants' liability is several and			
28	limited to its own actionable segments of fault, if any.			
	II4			
•	DEFENDANTS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFFS' UNVERIFIED THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT			

•

REED SMITH LLP A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware 2

1	TWENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE				
2	(Additional Affirmative Defenses)				
3	To the extent not set forth herein, Defendants reserve the right to assert additional defenses				
4	that become available or apparent during discovery and to amend the Answer accordingly.				
5	WHEREFORE, Defendants pray:				
6	1. That Plaintiffs take nothing by way of the 5 <sup>th</sup> AC;				
7	2. That judgment be entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiffs; and				
8	3. That Defendants be granted such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.				
9					
10	DATED: November 12, 2020 REED SMITH LLP				
11	By: Le Durong				
12	Le T. Duong Megan E. Farrell				
13	Attorneys for Defendants Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee for				
14	WFASC 2005-ARI				
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26 27					
27 28					
28	5				
•					

l

REED SMITH LLP A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware

> DEFENDANTS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFFS' UNVERIFIED THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT

4				
5 6	ASSO	DEFENDANTS WELLS FA OCIATION, AS TRUSTEE FO DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFFS	R WFASC 2005-ARI'S A	NSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE
7		by placing the document(s) list		pe with postage thereon fully rnia addressed as set forth below.
8		I am readily familiar with the f	irm's practice of collection	and processing of correspondence th the U.S. Postal Service on that
9		same day with postage thereon	fully prepaid in the ordinar	y course of business. I am aware alid if the postal cancellation date
10		or postage meter date is more the Declaration.		
11			ocument(s) listed above to th	e person(s) at the address(es) set
12		forth below.		
13	0		iteed delivery on the next but	isiness day following the date of
14		consignment to the address(es) to this proof of service	set forth below. A copy of	the consignment slip is attached
15		by transmitting via email to the	e parties at the email address	ses listed below:
16				
17		hristopher J. Fry, Esq. ry Law Firm	Attorney for Pl	aintiff
18		80 9 <sup>th</sup> Street, 16 <sup>th</sup> Floor		
19		acramento, CA 95814 : 916-291-0700		
20		: 916-848-0256		
	E	: <u>cfry@frylawcorp.com</u>		
21	P:	atrick Reider, Esq.	Attornev for Fi	rst American Servicing
22	F	irst American Law Group	Solutions, LLC	
23		First American Way anta Ana, CA 92707		
24		· ·		
25	true ar	I declare under penalty of perju- id correct. Executed on Novemb	-	
26			) 'n ø	P 11 Auc
27			Aprille Handarro	5. Anderson
28			Aprile D. Henderso	
-	ŀ			,
			<u> </u>	US_ACTIVE-149960714.1-AEHENDER 11/12/20 12:39 PN

A limited liability purtnership formed in the State of Delsware **REED SMITH LLP** 

# **PROOF OF SERVICE**

3

1

2 I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is REED SMITH LLP, 101 Second Street, Suite 1800, San Francisco, California 94105-3659. On November 17, 2020 I served the following document(s) by the method indicated below: